

COHERENT SYSTEMS ON ELLIPTIC CURVES

H. LANGE AND P. E. NEWSTEAD

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider coherent systems (E, V) on an elliptic curve which are α -stable with respect to some value of a parameter α . We show that the corresponding moduli spaces, if non-empty, are smooth and irreducible of the expected dimension. Moreover we give precise conditions for non-emptiness of the moduli spaces. Finally we study the variation of the moduli spaces with α .

1. INTRODUCTION

A *coherent system of type (n, d, k)* on a smooth projective curve X over an algebraically closed field is by definition a pair (E, V) consisting of a vector bundle E of rank n and degree d over X and a vector subspace $V \subset H^0(E)$ of dimension k . For any real number α , the α -*slope* of a coherent system (E, V) of type (n, d, k) is defined by

$$\mu_\alpha(E, V) := \frac{d}{n} + \alpha \frac{k}{n}.$$

A *coherent subsystem* of (E, V) is a coherent system (E', V') such that E' is a subbundle of E and $V' \subset V \cap H^0(E')$. A coherent system (E, V) is called α -*stable* (α -*semistable*) if

$$\mu_\alpha(E', V') < \mu_\alpha(E, V) \quad (\mu_\alpha(E', V') \leq \mu_\alpha(E, V))$$

for every proper coherent subsystem (E', V') of (E, V) . The α -stable coherent systems of type (n, d, k) on X form a quasiprojective moduli space which we denote by $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$.

The general theory of [6] is true for coherent systems on curves of all genera, but most of the detailed results require $g \geq 2$. In a previous paper [8] we studied the case $g = 0$ and proved that all non-empty moduli spaces $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ are smooth and irreducible of the expected dimension. Moreover we obtained results on non-emptiness for certain values of k and α .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14H60; Secondary: 14F05, 32L10.

Key words and phrases. Vector bundle, coherent system, moduli space, elliptic curve.

Both authors are members of the research group VBAC (Vector Bundles on Algebraic Curves). The second author acknowledges support from EPSRC Grant No. EP/C515064, and would like to thank the Mathematisches Institut der Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg for its hospitality.

In the current paper we study the case $g = 1$. Our main result is that the non-empty moduli spaces $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ are again smooth and irreducible of the expected dimension. We also determine precisely the conditions for non-emptiness. Our results are therefore rather stronger than in the case $g = 0$. The reasons for this are that there exist semistable bundles for any rank n and degree d and that the general such bundle has the form $E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_h$, where $h = \gcd(n, d)$ and the E_i are stable of the same slope; these facts are due to Atiyah [2] and Tu [9].

In order to state our main results more precisely, we first recall the definition of the *Brill-Noether number* $\beta(n, d, k)$ [6, Definition 2.7]. For an elliptic curve, this is independent of n and we write

$$\beta(d, k) := \beta(n, d, k) = k(d - k) + 1.$$

We write also $M(n, d)$ for the moduli space of stable bundles of rank n and degree d on X . Note that, if $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \leq 0$, there do not exist α -stable coherent systems of type (n, d, k) (see [6, section 2.1]). Our main result can now be summarised as follows.

Theorem. *Let X be an elliptic curve and suppose $n \geq 1$, $k \geq 0$. Then*

- (i) *if $G(\alpha; n, d, k) \neq \emptyset$, it is smooth and irreducible of dimension $\beta(d, k)$;*
- (ii) *$G(\alpha; n, d, 0) \simeq M(n, d)$ for all α ; in particular it is non-empty if and only if $\gcd(n, d) = 1$;*
- (iii) *for $\alpha > 0$ and $k \geq 1$, $G(\alpha; 1, d, k)$ is independent of α and is non-empty if and only if either $d = 0$, $k = 1$ or $k \leq d$;*
- (iv) *for $\alpha > 0$, $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$, $G(\alpha; n, d, k) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $(n - k)\alpha < d$ and either $k < d$ or $k = d$ and $\gcd(n, d) = 1$.*

Following some preliminaries in section 2, we prove (ii) and (iii) (together with (i) for the cases $k = 0$ and $n = 1$) in section 3. In section 4, we prove (i) (Theorem 4.3) and the necessity of the condition in (iv) (Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 4.5). In this section, we show also that the birational type of $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ is independent of α (Theorem 4.4) and give descriptions of the generic elements of $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ (Propositions 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8). In section 5, we prove the sufficiency of the condition in (iv) (Theorems 5.1, 5.2 and 5.4). In section 6, we consider the variation of the spaces $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ as α varies. We discuss the “flips” introduced in [6] and give examples of cases in which there are no flips and some in which flips genuinely exist.

In order to show that the small- α and large- α moduli spaces can be non-isomorphic varieties, we discuss in section 7 the Poincaré and Picard bundles for elliptic curves. These bundles have been much studied for general genus, but we could not find an account in the literature for the elliptic case. In section 8 we use a calculation of the Chern classes to give an example in which small- α and large- α moduli spaces

are non-isomorphic although they are both Grassmannian bundles over X of the same dimension.

We refer to [6] for general information about coherent systems on algebraic curves and additional references (see also [7], where much of the general theory of coherent systems is developed).

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Montserrat Teixidor i Bigas for drawing our attention to the reference [4], and the referee for a thorough reading of the paper and some useful comments which have led to improvements in the presentation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be an elliptic curve which for simplicity we suppose to be defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. We begin by recalling some facts on vector bundles on X .

A complete classification of indecomposable bundles on X is given in [2]; in particular, the indecomposable bundles of any fixed rank and degree form a family parametrised by X [2, Theorem 7]. Since every vector bundle can be written as a direct sum of indecomposable ones, uniquely up to order of direct summands, this gives a complete description of all vector bundles on X .

We have the following facts covering the relationship between indecomposability and stability:

- every indecomposable bundle on X is semistable,
- an indecomposable bundle is stable if and only if its rank and degree are coprime,
- every simple bundle is stable.

These are consequences of [2]. For detailed proofs of the first two facts, see [9, Appendix A]; for the third, we need only show that, if E is simple, then its rank and degree are coprime. This last follows from [2, Theorem 5 and Lemmas 24, 26].

It follows from the second fact above that, if $\gcd(n, d) = 1$, then the moduli space $M(n, d)$ is isomorphic to X . In [9] it is shown more generally that the moduli space of S-equivalence classes of semistable bundles of rank n and degree d on X is isomorphic to the h -th symmetric product $S^h X$ of X where $h = \gcd(n, d)$. In fact, every point of $S^h X$ is represented by a unique polystable bundle

$$E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_h,$$

where each E_i is stable of rank $\frac{n}{h}$ and degree $\frac{d}{h}$.

We need from [2] the description of the indecomposable vector bundles of degree 0 on X . Every such bundle can be written uniquely in the form $F_r \otimes L$ with $L \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$, where F_r is defined inductively as follows:

- $F_1 = \mathcal{O}$,

- F_r is determined uniquely as a nontrivial extension

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow F_r \rightarrow F_{r-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover

$$(2) \quad h^0(F_r) = h^1(F_r) = 1$$

and, for any nontrivial $L \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$,

$$h^0(F_r \otimes L) = h^1(F_r \otimes L) = 0.$$

For an indecomposable bundle E of positive degree,

$$(3) \quad h^1(E) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h^0(E) = \deg(E).$$

We need the following two lemmas which are implicit in [2], but for which we could not find a direct reference.

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be an indecomposable vector bundle on X of rank n and degree d . If $d > n$, then E is generated by its global sections.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E(-p) \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_p \rightarrow 0$ for any point $p \in X$. Since $E(-p)$ is indecomposable of positive degree, we have $h^1(E(-p)) = 0$. This implies the assertion. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_l$ with all E_i indecomposable. Then $\dim \text{Aut}(E) \geq l$. Moreover equality holds if and only if E is polystable and the E_i are pairwise non-isomorphic.*

Proof. The first statement being obvious, it remains to prove the second one. If E is polystable and the E_i are pairwise non-isomorphic, then each E_i is simple and $\text{Hom}(E_i, E_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. So

$$\text{Aut}(E) = \prod_{i=1}^l \text{Aut}(E_i) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^l.$$

Conversely, suppose $\dim \text{Aut}(E) = l$. Then each E_i is simple and thus stable. Moreover $\text{Hom}(E_i, E_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. So the E_i are pairwise non-isomorphic. If $\mu(E_i) < \mu(E_j)$, then $\deg(\text{Hom}(E_i, E_j)) > 0$ and hence $\text{Hom}(E_i, E_j) \neq 0$, a contradiction. So all E_i have the same slope and E is polystable. $\square$

3. THE MODULI SPACES $G(\alpha; n, d, 0)$ AND $G(\alpha; 1, d, k)$

Suppose first that $k = 0$.

Proposition 3.1. *$G(\alpha; n, d, 0) \simeq M(n, d)$ for all α . In particular $G(\alpha; n, d, 0) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\gcd(n, d) = 1$ and it is then smooth and irreducible of dimension $\beta(d, 0) = 1$.*

Proof. It is immediate from the definitions that $G(\alpha; n, d, 0) \simeq M(n, d)$. The rest of the proposition follows from the results of [2] and [9] (see section 2). $\square$

We now suppose that $n = 1$ and $k \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.2. *For $\alpha > 0$ and $k \geq 1$, $G(\alpha; 1, d, k)$ is independent of α and is non-empty if and only if either $d = 0$, $k = 1$ or $k \leq d$. It is then smooth and irreducible of dimension $\beta(d, k) = k(d - k) + 1$.*

Proof. When $n = 1$, all coherent systems (E, V) are α -stable for all $\alpha > 0$. The existence result follows at once from the facts that $\mathcal{O}$ is the unique line bundle of degree 0 which has a non-zero section and that, if $d > 0$, then $h^0(L) = d$ for every line bundle L of degree d by Riemann-Roch. It follows also that $G(\alpha; 1, d, k)$ is a point if $d = 0$, $k = 1$ and a Grassmannian bundle over $M(1, d) \simeq X$ with fibre $Gr(k, d)$ if $d > 0$. This proves the remaining parts of the proposition. $\square$

Remark 3.3. Of course, for $\alpha > 0$, $G(\alpha; 1, d, k)$ coincides with the classical variety $G_d^{k-1}(X)$ of linear systems of degree d and projective dimension $k - 1$ on X (see [1, p.182]). Proposition 3.2 is therefore a classical result [1, Chapter V].

4. THE MODULI SPACES $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ FOR $n \geq 2$

Since the canonical line bundle on X is trivial, the *Petri map* of (E, V) (given by multiplication of sections) is of the form

$$V \otimes H^0(E^*) \rightarrow H^0(E \otimes E^*).$$

For any component U of $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ we have (see [7, Corollaire 3.14])

$$\dim(U) \geq \beta(d, k).$$

Moreover $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ is smooth of the expected dimension $\beta(d, k)$ at (E, V) if and only if the Petri map is injective (see [6, Proposition 3.10]).

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $n \geq 2$, $k > 0$ and (E, V) is an α -stable coherent system on an elliptic curve X of type (n, d, k) for some α . Then every indecomposable direct summand of E is of positive degree.*

Proof. Let F be an indecomposable direct summand of E of degree $f \leq 0$. If $f < 0$, we would have $(F, 0)$ as a direct summand of the coherent system (E, V) , contradicting α -stability for all α . If $f = 0$ and the induced map $V \rightarrow H^0(F)$ is 0, the same argument applies.

Otherwise $F = F_r$ for some r (see section 2) and the map $V \rightarrow H^0(F_r)$ is surjective. In this case we can write

$$E = F_r \oplus G.$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that F_r has a subbundle $\mathcal{O}$ and that $H^0(\mathcal{O})$ maps isomorphically to $H^0(F_r)$. Since we are assuming $V \rightarrow H^0(F_r)$ is nonzero, the coherent subsystem $(\mathcal{O}, H^0(\mathcal{O}))$ of $(F_r, H^0(F_r))$ lifts to a coherent subsystem of (E, V) . But (E, V) also has a coherent subsystem (G, V') of type $(n - r, d, k - 1)$. The existence of these two coherent subsystems contradicts the α -stability of (E, V) . $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose $n \geq 2$ and $k > 0$. If $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ is non-empty, then $k \leq d$.*

Proof. For $(E, V) \in G(\alpha; n, d, k)$, the lemma implies that every indecomposable direct summand of E is of positive degree. Now, by (3),

$$k = \dim V \leq h^0(E) = d.$$

□

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose $n \geq 2$, $k > 0$ and $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ is non-empty. Then $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ is smooth and irreducible of dimension $\beta(d, k)$. Moreover, for a general $(E, V) \in G(\alpha; n, d, k)$, E is polystable. To be more precise,*

$$E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_h$$

with $h = \gcd(n, d)$ and all E_i stable and pairwise non-isomorphic of the same slope.

Proof. If (E, V) is α -stable, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that $H^0(E^*) = 0$. Hence the Petri map $V \otimes H^0(E^*) \rightarrow H^0(E \otimes E^*)$ is injective. So $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ is smooth and has dimension $\beta(d, k)$. By Lemma 4.1, for every $(E, V) \in G(\alpha; n, d, k)$, E is of the form

$$(4) \quad E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_l$$

with E_i indecomposable and $\deg(E_i) \geq 1$ for all i .

Suppose $(n_1, d_1), \dots, (n_l, d_l)$ are ordered pairs of positive integers with $\sum n_i = n$ and $\sum d_i = d$. Then the sequences $(E_1, \dots, E_l)$ of indecomposable bundles with $\text{rk}(E_i) = n_i$ and $\deg(E_i) = d_i$ form a family parametrised by X^l (see section 2). Note that $h^0(E_i) = d_i$. So $h^0(E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_l) = d$. Therefore the set of all pairs $(E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_l, V)$, where $\dim V = k$, forms a family parametrised by a projective variety W (which is a Grassmannian bundle over X^l). Let U denote the open subset of W corresponding to α -stable coherent systems. If $U \neq \emptyset$, there is a canonical morphism

$$\varphi : U \rightarrow G(\alpha; n, d, k).$$

For any $(E, V) \in \text{Im} \varphi$ we have

$$\dim \varphi^{-1}(E, V) = \dim \text{Aut}(E) - 1.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Im} \varphi &= \dim Gr(k, h^0(E)) + l - \min \dim \text{Aut}(E) + 1 \\ &= k(d - k) + l - \min \dim \text{Aut}(E) + 1 \\ &= \beta(d, k) + l - \min \dim \text{Aut}(E), \end{aligned}$$

where the minimum is to be taken over all (E, V) with $E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_l$ as above. Hence, if $\min \dim \text{Aut}(E) > l$, this cannot give an open set of a component of $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$. By Lemma 2.2 this implies that, if the closure of $\text{Im} \varphi$ is an irreducible component of $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$, then all E_i are stable with the same slope. Hence $l = h = \gcd(n, d)$ and

$n_i = \frac{n}{h}$, $d_i = \frac{d}{h}$. So U is uniquely determined and $\overline{\text{Im}\varphi} = G(\alpha; n, d, k)$. Moreover, for the general element of U , the bundles E_i are pairwise non-isomorphic. $\square$

Theorem 4.4. *The set*

$$I(n, d, k) := \{\alpha \mid G(\alpha; n, d, k) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is an open interval (possibly infinite or empty). Moreover

- (i) *if $I(n, d, k) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a coherent system of type (n, d, k) which is α -stable for all $\alpha \in I(n, d, k)$;*
- (ii) *the birational type of $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ is independent of $\alpha \in I(n, d, k)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in I(n, d, k)$ with $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$. Then the irreducibility of the variety W in the proof of Theorem 4.3 shows that there exists a coherent system $(E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_h, V) \in W$ which is simultaneously α_1 -stable and α_2 -stable. So $(E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_h, V)$ is α -stable for all $\alpha \in [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ by [5, Lemma 3.14]. This proves that $I(n, d, k)$ is an interval. If $G(\alpha; n, d, k) \neq \emptyset$ at an endpoint α_1 of $I(n, d, k)$, it would be possible to extend the interval, a contradiction. This proves the openness of $I(n, d, k)$.

For (i), note that the general element of W defines a coherent system with the required property; (ii) follows at once from (i) and the irreducibility of $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$. $\square$

Proposition 4.5. *If $\gcd(n, d) > 1$, then $G(\alpha; n, d, d)$ is empty for all α .*

Proof. If $d = 0$, this follows from Proposition 3.1. If $d > 0$ and $G(\alpha; n, d, d) \neq \emptyset$, then, by Theorem 4.3, its general element is of the form (E, V) , where $E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_h$ with $h \geq 2$ and $V = H^0(E)$. So (E, V) is a direct sum of h coherent systems and thus not α -stable for any α . $\square$

Proposition 4.6. *Let $0 < k < n$ and suppose $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ is non-empty. Then, for a general $(E, V) \in G(\alpha; n, d, k)$, we have an exact sequence*

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^k \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0,$$

where $V = H^0(\mathcal{O}^k) \subset H^0(E)$ and G is a polystable vector bundle with pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, we may assume that E is polystable with pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands. The subspace $V \subset H^0(E)$ is given by a homomorphism $\mathcal{O}^k \rightarrow E$. We first claim that this homomorphism gives $\mathcal{O}^k$ the structure of a subbundle of E , i.e. the homomorphism is injective and the quotient G is a vector bundle.

Suppose this is not the case. Then there exists a global section of E , contained in V , with a zero. If $d \leq n$, this contradicts α -stability. If $d > n$, each indecomposable direct summand E_i of E is generated by

its global sections according to Lemma 2.1. Hence, by [2, Theorem 2], a general subspace V embeds $\mathcal{O}^k$ as a subbundle of E . This completes the proof of the claim.

It remains to show that G is polystable. To see this, suppose

$$G = G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_l$$

with indecomposable direct summands G_i . We have $\deg(G_i) > 0$ for all i , since any quotient of a polystable bundle of positive degree is of positive degree. This implies $H^0(G^*) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}_l$ denote the moduli space of all sequences $(G_1, \dots, G_l)$ for any fixed values of the ranks and degrees of the G_i . Consider the subscheme U of $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ parametrising the coherent systems given by exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^k \rightarrow E' \rightarrow G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_l \rightarrow 0$$

with $(G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_l) \in \mathcal{M}_l$ and $(E', H^0(\mathcal{O}^k))$ α -stable. Then either U is empty or we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim U &= \\ &= \dim \mathcal{M}_l + \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(G, \mathcal{O}^k) - \dim \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}^k) - \min \dim \operatorname{Aut}(G) + 1 \\ &= l + kd - k^2 - \min \dim \operatorname{Aut}(G) + 1 \\ &= \beta(d, k) + l - \min \dim \operatorname{Aut}(G). \end{aligned}$$

So, by Lemma 2.2, $\dim U \leq \beta(d, k)$ with equality only if the G_i are stable of the same slope. The result follows as in the proof of Theorem 4.3. $\square$

Proposition 4.7. *Suppose $n \geq 2$ and $G(\alpha; n, d, n)$ is non-empty. For a general $(E, V) \in G(\alpha; n, d, n)$, there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow E \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$$

with $V = H^0(\mathcal{O}^n) \subset H^0(E)$ and T a torsion sheaf of length d .

Proof. By Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 4.5, we have $d > n$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 4.3 that E is generated by its sections. Hence, the general subspace of dimension n of $H^0(E)$ generates E generically. This implies the assertion. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose $k > n$ and $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ is non-empty. For a general $(E, V) \in G(\alpha; n, d, k)$, there is an exact sequence*

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^k \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

such that

- (i) $H^0(\mathcal{O}^k) \rightarrow H^0(E)$ is an isomorphism onto V ;
- (ii) H is polystable with pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 4.3, E is generated by its sections, since $d \geq k > n$. By a standard result there is a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{O}^{n+1} \rightarrow E$. This implies the existence of (6) and the assertion (i).

For the proof of (ii), note that every indecomposable direct summand of H has negative degree. By dualizing the sequence (6), we obtain a coherent system (H^*, V^*) with $\dim V^* = k$. Moreover (H^*, V^*) determines (E, V) . Hence (ii) follows by the same dimension counting argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.3. $\square$

5. BOUNDS FOR α

To obtain the range of α for which $G(\alpha; n, d, k) \neq \emptyset$, it is sufficient by Theorem 4.4 to find the upper and lower bound for α . For non-emptiness we must have $k \leq d$ or $(n, d, k) = (1, 0, 1)$, and by Proposition 4.5 we can exclude the case $k = d$, $\gcd(n, d) \neq 1$. We shall show that, in all other cases, $G(\alpha; n, d, k) \neq \emptyset$ for the full range of α permitted by [6]. Since this has already been proved for $n = 1$ in section 3, we shall suppose throughout that $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose $k > 0$ and either $k < d$ or $k = d$ and $\gcd(n, d) = 1$. Then*

$$\inf \{ \alpha \mid G(\alpha; n, d, k) \neq \emptyset \} = 0.$$

Proof. We know that $\alpha > 0$ is a necessary condition for $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ to be non-empty. It suffices to show that for small positive α there exist α -stable coherent systems of type (n, d, k) on X . Let

$$E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_h$$

be of rank n and degree d with E_i pairwise non-isomorphic and stable of the same slope.

If $h = 1$, then E is stable, hence (E, V) is α -stable for small positive α for any choice of the subspace V . We can therefore suppose $h > 1$. The only subbundles of E which for small positive α might violate the α -stability of (E, V) are the subbundles of slope $\mu(E)$. But there are only finitely many of those, namely direct sums of some of the E_j . Hence, if G is such a subbundle, it suffices to show that

$$(7) \quad \frac{\dim(H^0(G) \cap V)}{\operatorname{rk}(G)} < \frac{k}{n}$$

for a general subspace V of dimension k of $H^0(E)$. By choosing V generically we have, for all subbundles G of slope $\mu(E)$,

$$\dim(H^0(G) \cap V) = \max\{0, h^0(G) - \operatorname{codim}(V)\}.$$

If $\dim(H^0(G) \cap V) = 0$, then (7) is certainly valid. So assume $h^0(G) - \operatorname{codim}(V) \geq 0$, i.e. $\deg(G) = h^0(G) \geq \operatorname{codim}(V)$. Then (7) becomes

$$\frac{\deg(G) - \operatorname{codim}(V)}{\operatorname{rk}(G)} < \frac{d - \operatorname{codim}(V)}{n},$$

which is equivalent to $\operatorname{rk}(G) < n$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

We turn now to the question of the upper bound for the parameter α , where we must distinguish the cases $k < n$ and $k \geq n$.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose $0 < k < n$. Suppose further that either $k < d$ or $k = d$ and $\gcd(n, d) = 1$. Then*

$$\sup \{ \alpha \mid G(\alpha; n, d, k) \neq 0 \} = \frac{d}{n-k}.$$

Remark 5.3. Note that the statement of Theorem 5.2 is covered by [6, Remark 5.5] if $\gcd(n - k, d) = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. According to [6, Lemma 4.1] the number $\frac{d}{n-k}$ is an upper bound for α . Hence it suffices to show that, for α slightly smaller than $\frac{d}{n-k}$, there exists an α -stable coherent system of type (n, d, k) .

Let (E, V) be as in Proposition 4.6 and let (E', V') be a proper coherent subsystem. We require to prove that

$$(8) \quad \mu_\alpha(E', V') < \mu_\alpha(E, V).$$

The subbundle E' determines an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0,$$

where W' is a subbundle of $\mathcal{O}^k$ and G' is a subsheaf of the bundle G of (5). The proof of [5, Proposition 4.2] shows that (8) holds if $G' = 0$ or $G' = G$ and also if $\mu(G') < \mu(G)$ (note that in the proof of [5, Proposition 4.2] this is necessary in order to get $\delta > 0$). If $\mu(G') = \mu(G)$, the proof still works unless $W' \simeq \mathcal{O}^{k'}$.

It remains to consider the following case:

$$G = G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_l$$

with G_i stable and pairwise non-isomorphic of the same slope, G' a proper subbundle of G with $\mu(G') = \mu(G)$ and $W' \simeq \mathcal{O}^{k'}$ for some $k' \leq k$. Note that, in the case $k = d$ and $\gcd(n, d) = 1$, G is necessarily stable; so G' does not exist.

We may therefore assume that $k < d$. Note that there are only finitely many such subbundles G' of G . We now have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{k'} \rightarrow E' \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0$$

and we can suppose that $V' = H^0(\mathcal{O}^{k'})$, i.e. $\dim(V') = k'$. Note that $\mu(G') = \mu(G)$, so $\deg(G') = \text{rk}(G') \cdot \frac{d}{n-k}$. Then we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha(E', V') - \mu_\alpha(E, V) &= \frac{\deg(G')}{k' + \text{rk}(G')} + \alpha \frac{k'}{k' + \text{rk}(G')} - \frac{d}{n} - \alpha \frac{k}{n} \\ &= \frac{k \cdot \text{rk}(G') - k'n + k'k}{n(n-k)(k' + \text{rk}(G'))} (d - \alpha(n-k)). \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that when $\alpha < \frac{d}{n-k}$, then, for a general extension

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^k \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0,$$

the right hand side of (9) is negative for all choices of G' and k' . Equivalently we have to show $k \cdot \text{rk}(G') - k'n + k'k < 0$, i.e.

$$(11) \quad k' > \frac{k \cdot \text{rk}(G')}{n - k}.$$

For the proof of (11) consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^k & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow i & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^k & \longrightarrow & E'' & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^{k'} & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Let $(e_1, \dots, e_k) \in H^1(G^*)^k$ denote the element classifying the extension (10). Then $(i^*e_1, \dots, i^*e_k)$ classifies the pullback of (10) by the canonical embedding i . The existence of the bottom row of the diagram implies that at most k' of the elements $i^*e_1, \dots, i^*e_k$ are linearly independent. Now, for the general extension (10), the number of these elements which are still linearly independent is precisely $\min\{h^1(G'^*), k\}$. So

$$k' \geq \min\{h^1(G'^*), k\}.$$

Since we have only finitely many subbundles G' , the general extension (10) has this property for all G' . Therefore we need to check (11) only for $k' = h^1(G'^*) = \deg(G')$ and $k' = k$. For $k' = k$ it is obvious and for $k' = \deg(G')$ it comes from the fact that $k < d$. $\square$

Theorem 5.4. *Suppose $k \geq n$. Suppose further that either $k < d$ or $k = d$ and $\gcd(n, d) = 1$. Then $G(\alpha; n, d, k) \neq \emptyset$ for arbitrarily large α .*

Proof. When $k = n$, this has already been proved in [6, Theorem 5.6]. So suppose $k > n$. For this we apply the results of [6, section 6]. Taking account of Theorem 5.1, it is enough to show that the dimension of the space of extensions

$$0 \rightarrow (E_1, V_1) \rightarrow (E, V) \rightarrow (E_2, V_2) \rightarrow 0$$

is less than $\beta(d, k)$ whenever the following conditions hold:

- (a) (E, V) is α -stable of type (n, d, k) for small positive α ;
- (b) (E_i, V_i) is of type (n_i, d_i, k_i) for $i = 1, 2$;
- (c) $\frac{k_1}{n_1} > \frac{k_2}{n_2}$ and $\frac{d_1}{n_1} < \frac{d_2}{n_2}$;
- (d) (E_i, V_i) is α -stable for α slightly smaller than $\frac{n_1 d_2 - n_2 d_1}{n_2 k_1 - n_1 k_2}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Note that, since $k > n$, we have, by conditions (c) and (d), Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 4.2,

$$(12) \quad n_1 < k_1 \leq d_1.$$

As in [6, section 3] we define

$$\mathbb{H}_{21}^2 = \text{Ext}^2((E_2, V_2), (E_1, V_1))$$

and

$$(13) \quad C_{12} = -d_2 n_1 + d_1 n_2 + k_1 d_2 - k_1 k_2.$$

By [6, Proposition 3.2], $\mathbb{H}_{21}^2 = H^0(E_1^* \otimes N_2)^*$, where N_2 is the kernel of the natural map $V_2 \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow E_2$.

By Lemma 4.1 every indecomposable summand of E_1^* is of negative degree, while every indecomposable summand of N_2 has degree ≤ 0 . Since indecomposable bundles are semistable and we are in characteristic 0, it follows that $E_1^* \otimes N_2$ is a direct sum of semistable bundles of negative degree; so $\mathbb{H}_{21}^2 = 0$. Since also all moduli spaces of α -stable coherent systems on elliptic curves have the correct dimensions by Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 and Theorem 4.3, it follows from [6, equation (18)] that it is sufficient to prove that $C_{12} > 0$ under the conditions stated above. But

$$\begin{aligned} C_{12} &= d_2(k_1 - n_1) + d_1(n_2 - k_2) + (d_1 - k_1)k_2 \\ &> \frac{n_2 d_1}{n_1}(k_1 - n_1) + d_1(n_2 - k_2) + (d_1 - k_1)k_2 \\ &\quad \left(\text{since } d_2 > \frac{n_2 d_1}{n_1} \text{ by assumption and } k_1 > n_1 \text{ by (12)} \right) \\ &= d_1 \left(\frac{k_1 n_2}{n_1} - k_2 \right) + (d_1 - k_1)k_2 \\ &> 0 \\ &\quad \left(\text{since } \frac{k_1}{n_1} > \frac{k_2}{n_2} \text{ by assumption and } d_1 \geq k_1 \text{ by (12)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

6. VARIATION OF MODULI SPACES WITH α

In this section, we again suppose throughout that $n \geq 2$ and $k > 0$. In the proof of Theorem 5.4 we have used implicitly the idea of “flips” as defined in [6]. These flips take place at the critical values α_i of the parameter α , which have the form

$$\alpha_i = \frac{n_1 d_2 - n_2 d_1}{n_2 k_1 - n_1 k_2},$$

where $n_1 + n_2 = n$, $d_1 + d_2 = d$ and $k_1 + k_2 = k$. Moreover we can assume that

$$(14) \quad \frac{k_1}{n_1} > \frac{k_2}{n_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d_1}{n_1} < \frac{d_2}{n_2},$$

which together imply that $\alpha_i > 0$. If $k < n$, then we also require

$$\alpha_i < \frac{d}{n - k}$$

(see Theorem 5.2 or [6, Proposition 4.2]). We can write this condition as

$$(15) \quad (n - k)\alpha_i < d,$$

which makes it valid for all k . For any (n, d, k) , there exist only finitely many critical values

$$0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_L$$

(see [6, Propositions 4.2 and 4.6]). For $\alpha_L < \alpha$ (or $\alpha_L < \alpha < \frac{d}{n-k}$ if $k < n$), the moduli space $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ is independent of α and we denote it by G_L . Similarly, for $0 < \alpha < \alpha_1$ we denote $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ by G_0 .

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that (14) and (15) hold and $G(\alpha; n_1, d_1, k_1)$ and $G(\alpha; n_2, d_2, k_2)$ are both non-empty for some α . Then*

$$(16) \quad k_1 \leq d_1 \quad \text{and} \quad k_2 < d_2.$$

Proof. By (14), $k_1 \geq 1$; so, by Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 4.2, $k_1 > d_1$ only when $(n_1, d_1, k_1) = (1, 0, 1)$. In this case, $k_2 < n_2$ by (14), so $k < n$. Moreover $\alpha_i = \frac{d}{n-k}$, which contradicts (15). Hence $k_1 \leq d_1$. Together with (14) this implies $\frac{k_2}{n_2} < \frac{k_1}{n_1} \leq \frac{d_1}{n_1} < \frac{d_2}{n_2}$, which completes the proof. $\square$

As α increases through α_i , we must delete from the moduli space $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ a closed subset G_i^- and insert G_i^+ . In [6, section 6] an explicit description of G_i^- and G_i^+ is given together with estimates for their codimensions. In our case, since all moduli spaces have the expected dimensions and $\mathbb{H}_{21}^2 = \mathbb{H}_{12}^2 = 0$, we have

$$\text{codim}(G_i^-) \geq \min C_{12} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{codim}(G_i^+) \geq \min C_{21},$$

where C_{12} is given by (13) and

$$C_{21} = -d_1 n_2 + d_2 n_1 + k_2 d_1 - k_1 k_2$$

and the minima are taken over all values of n_1, d_1, k_1 satisfying (14) and giving rise to the critical value α_i .

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose that (14) and (15) hold and $G(\alpha; n_1, d_1, k_1)$ and $G(\alpha; n_2, d_2, k_2)$ are both non-empty for some α . Then both C_{12} and C_{21} are positive.*

Proof. $C_{21} = n_1 n_2 (\frac{d_2}{n_2} - \frac{d_1}{n_1}) + k_2 (d_1 - k_1) > 0$ by (14) and (16).

As for $C_{12} > 0$, this is proved in the course of the proof of Theorem 5.4 for $k > n$ and, in fact, the proof is valid for $k \geq n$. For $k < n$ we have, by (15),

$$\frac{n_1 d_2 - n_2 d_1}{n_2 k_1 - n_1 k_2} < \frac{d}{n - k}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} n(n_1d_2 - n_2d_1) &< k(n_1d_2 - n_2d_1) + d(n_2k_1 - n_1k_2) \\ &= n(k_1d_2 - k_2d_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< d_1n_2 - d_2n_1 + k_1d_2 - k_1k_2 + k_1k_2 - d_1k_2 \\ &= C_{12} + k_2(k_1 - d_1) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $C_{12} > k_2(d_1 - k_1) \geq 0$. $\square$

Remark 6.3. Proposition 6.2 shows that all flips are “good” in the sense of [6]. It also gives an alternative proof of Theorem 5.2, but the proof in section 5 gives more information about the structure of G_L .

Example 6.4. Suppose $k = d$. For non-emptiness of $G(\alpha; n, d, k)$ we require $\gcd(n, d) = 1$. The inequalities (16) have no common solution. Hence there are no critical values and $G_0 = G_L = X$. In fact, (E, V) is α -stable for some α if and only if E is a stable bundle (and $V = H^0(E)$).

Suppose now $k = d - 1$. According to Lemma 6.1 we must have

$$k_1 = d_1 \quad \text{and} \quad k_2 = d_2 - 1.$$

(14) then gives

$$(17) \quad \frac{d_2}{n_2} > \frac{d_1}{n_1} > \frac{d_2 - 1}{n_2},$$

i.e.

$$n_2d < nd_2 < n_2d + n - n_2.$$

When $d \leq n$, we have also $\alpha_i < \frac{d}{n-k}$, which is equivalent to

$$C_{12} > k_2(d_1 - k_1) = 0.$$

This in turn is equivalent to

$$(18) \quad d_1n_2 - d_2n_1 + d_1 > 0.$$

Since in this case $d_1 < n_1$, this is strictly stronger than the second inequality of (17). Note that $d_1 = 0$ is not allowed because of (17).

Example 6.5. Let $d = 2, k = 1$. According to our discussion above the only possibility is $k_1 = d_1 = 1, d_2 = 1, k_2 = 0$. But this contradicts (17) and (18). So there are no critical values and $G_0 = G_L$.

If n is odd, then (E, V) is α -stable for small α if and only if E is stable as a bundle and V is any one-dimensional subspace of the two-dimensional space $H^0(E)$. So G_0 is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over X .

If n is even, we can use the standard description of G_L (see [6, Remark 5.5]). The points of G_L correspond bijectively to the classes of non-trivial exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

with G stable of rank $n - 1$ and degree 2. So again $G_0 = G_L$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over X .

Example 6.6. Let $d = 3$, $k = 2$. The only possible solutions of (17) and (18) are $d_1 = 2$ and $d_2 = 1$, implying $k_1 = 2$, $k_2 = 0$. (17) and (18) imply

$$n_1 > 2n_2 > n_1 - 2,$$

i.e. $n_1 = 2n_2 + 1$. Thus

$$n = n_1 + n_2 = 3n_2 + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.$$

Hence, if $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, there are no critical values. Then the same argument as in the previous example shows that $G_0 = G_L$ is a $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle over X .

Finally, assume $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Then both $\gcd(n, d) = 1$ and $\gcd(n - k, d) = 1$, so G_0 and G_L are both $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundles over X . However there is one critical value $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2n_2}$. Moreover $C_{12} = C_{21} = 1$. So G_1^- and G_1^+ both have dimension at most 2.

Now G_1^- is given by non-trivial extensions

$$(19) \quad 0 \rightarrow (E_1, V_1) \rightarrow (E, V) \rightarrow (E_2, V_2) \rightarrow 0,$$

where (E_1, V_1) is α_1 -stable of type $(2n_2 + 1, 2, 2)$, E_2 is a stable bundle of type $(n_2, 1)$ and $V_2 = 0$. According to [6, equation (8)] and the fact that $\mathbb{H}_{21}^0 = \mathbb{H}_{21}^2 = 0$, we have that

$$\dim(\text{Ext}^1((E_2, V_2), (E_1, V_1))) = C_{21} = 1.$$

So there is a unique non-trivial extension for any (E_1, V_1) and (E_2, V_2) , implying that

$$G_1^- \simeq X \times X.$$

Similarly, G_1^+ is given by non-trivial extensions

$$(20) \quad 0 \rightarrow (E_2, V_2) \rightarrow (E, V) \rightarrow (E_1, V_1) \rightarrow 0$$

with (E_1, V_1) , (E_2, V_2) as above. Thus

$$\dim(\text{Ext}^1((E_1, V_1), (E_2, V_2))) = C_{12} = 1.$$

Again there is a unique non-trivial extension for any (E_1, V_1) and (E_2, V_2) , implying that

$$G_1^+ \simeq X \times X.$$

As $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_1$ from below, G_0 “degenerates” to a moduli space $\tilde{G}(\alpha_1)$ of S-equivalence classes of α_1 -semistable coherent systems. In fact, the coherent system $(E, V) \in G_0$ given by (19) is replaced by the S-equivalence class defined by $(E_1, V_1) \oplus (E_2, V_2)$. Similarly, as $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_1$ from above, G_L “degenerates” to $\tilde{G}(\alpha_1)$ and the coherent system $(E, V) \in G_L$ given by (20) is replaced by the same S-equivalence class. It is possible that $\tilde{G}(\alpha_1)$ has singularities and may even be reducible, but the natural morphisms $G_0 \rightarrow \tilde{G}(\alpha_1)$ and $G_L \rightarrow \tilde{G}(\alpha_1)$ are both bijective onto the same irreducible component of $\tilde{G}(\alpha_1)$. Since G_0 and G_L are smooth, they are both normalisations of this component and are therefore isomorphic. However the families parametrised by G_0 and

G_L are different: the coherent systems given by (19) belong to G_0 and are replaced by the coherent systems given by (20), which belong to G_L .

7. POINCARÉ AND PICARD BUNDLES

We need some facts about Poincaré and Picard bundles for elliptic curves. For $n = 2$, the relevant facts are contained in [4, Calculation 5.14], but for higher rank we cannot find a reference, although the situation for general genus is described in [3]. The main difference is that in our case the moduli spaces are identified with the curve X and we need to take this into account.

Fix n and $d > 0$ with $\gcd(n, d) = 1$ and let $M = M(n, d)$ denote the moduli space of stable bundles on X of rank n and degree d . By [2, Theorem 7] the map

$$\det : M \rightarrow X$$

is an isomorphism. Let p_1 and p_2 denote the projections of $X \times M$ and q_1 and q_2 the projections of $X \times X$. Hence, if $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the Poincaré bundle parametrizing line bundles of degree d on $X \times X$ and $\mathcal{U}$ is a Poincaré bundle on $X \times M$, then

$$\det(\mathcal{U}) \simeq p_2^* L_1 \otimes (\text{id} \times \det)^*(\mathcal{P})$$

with $L_1 \in \text{Pic}(M)$. By [1, p. 335],

$$c_1(\mathcal{P}) = d[X] + \xi_1$$

with $[X] = [q_1^*(\text{point})]$ and $\xi_1^2 = -2[X \times X]$. So

$$c_1(\mathcal{U}) = d[X] + \xi_1 + p_2^*(a_1),$$

where $a_1 = c_1(L_1)$, $[X] = [p_1^*(\text{point})]$ and, by abuse of notation, we write $\xi_1 = (\text{id} \times \det)^* \xi_1$. So now

$$\xi_1^2 = -2[X \times M].$$

We write

$$c_2(\mathcal{U}) = p_2^*(f_2)[X]$$

with $f_2 \in H^2(M)$. Note that $p_{2*}\mathcal{U}$ is a vector bundle of rank d on M , usually called the Picard bundle.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $[M]$ denote the fundamental class of M . Then*

- (i) $c_1(p_{2*}\mathcal{U}) = da_1 - [M] - f_2$;
- (ii) $nf_2 = (n-1)(da_1 - [M])$.

Proof. (i): Since $p_2^*(a_1)\xi_1 = 0$ and $[X]\xi_1 = 0$,

$$ch_2(\mathcal{U}) = \frac{1}{2}c_1^2(\mathcal{U}) - c_2(\mathcal{U}) = dp_2^*(a_1)[X] - [X \times M] - p_2^*(f_2)[X].$$

Since $H^1(E) = 0$ for all $E \in M$, Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch gives $c_1(p_{2*}\mathcal{U}) = da_1 - [M] - f_2$ as required.

(ii): Here we apply Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch to the bundle $End(\mathcal{U})$.

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(End(\mathcal{U})) &= -(n-1)c_1^2(\mathcal{U}) + 2nc_2(\mathcal{U}) \\ &= (n-1)\{2[X \times M] - 2dp_2^*(a_1)[X]\} + 2np_2^*(f_2)[X]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_1(End(\mathcal{U})) = 0$, we get

$$ch_2(End(\mathcal{U})) = (n-1)\{2dp_2^*(a_1)[X] - 2[X \times M]\} - 2np_2^*(f_2)[X].$$

Hence

$$c_1(p_{2*}End(\mathcal{U})) - c_1(R^1p_{2*}(End(\mathcal{U}))) = 2(n-1)(da_1 - [M]) - 2nf_2.$$

But $p_{2*}End(\mathcal{U}) \simeq R^1p_{2*}(End(\mathcal{U}))^*$ by relative Serre duality. Moreover $R^1p_{2*}(End(\mathcal{U}))$ is the tangent bundle of M , which is trivial. This gives the result. $\square$

Since $H^2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, we can write

$$a_1 = r[M].$$

Then Proposition 7.1(ii) reads $nf_2 = (n-1)(dr-1)[M]$ and we deduce

$$dr - 1 = sn \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 = (n-1)s[M]$$

for some integer s .

Proposition 7.2. $c_1(p_{2*}\mathcal{U}) = s[M]$.

Proof. $c_1(p_{2*}\mathcal{U}) = dr[M] - [M] - (n-1)s[M] = s[M]$. $\square$

Remark 7.3. Write $d_1 = c_1(p_{2*}\mathcal{U})$. Since $rd - sn = 1$,

$$[M] = da_1 - nd_1.$$

So a_1 and d_1 generate $H^2(M)$. Although this is in line with [3, Theorem 9.11], it does not seem to follow directly from that theorem.

8. AN EXAMPLE

Suppose $0 < k < n$, $k < d$ with $\gcd(n, d) = 1$ and $\gcd(n-k, d) = 1$.

Proposition 8.1. *Under the above assumptions,*

- (i) G_0 can be identified with the Grassmannian bundle over $M = M(n, d)$ of subspaces of dimension k in the fibres of the Picard bundle $\mathcal{E} = p_{2*}\mathcal{U}$;
- (ii) G_L can be identified with the Grassmannian bundle over $M(n-k, d)$ of subspaces of dimension k in the fibres of the Picard bundle $\mathcal{F} = (p_{2*}\mathcal{U}')^*$, where $\mathcal{U}'$ is a Poincaré bundle on $X \times M(n-k, d)$;
- (iii) $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = -s'[M(n-k, d)]$ for some s' such that $s'(n-k) \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$.

Proof. (i): Since $\gcd(n, d) = 1$,

$$(E, V) \in G_0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad E \text{ is stable.}$$

The result follows immediately.

(ii): Since $\gcd(n - k, d) = 1$, it follows from [6, Remark 5.5] that G_L is a Grassmannian bundle associated with the vector bundle $R^1 p_{2*}(\mathcal{U}'^*)$. By relative Serre duality this is isomorphic to $(p_{2*}\mathcal{U}')^*$.

(iii) follows at once from Proposition 7.2 applied to $\mathcal{U}'$. $\square$

After identifying M and $M(n - k, d)$ with X via the determinants, we can regard $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ as bundles over the elliptic curve X .

Proposition 8.2. *The projective bundles $P(\mathcal{E})$ and $P(\mathcal{F})$ are independent of the choices of the Poincaré bundles $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$. Moreover, if*

$$s + s' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d},$$

then $P(\mathcal{E})$ and $P(\mathcal{F})$ are not isomorphic as varieties.

Proof. The Poincaré bundles $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$ are determined up to tensoring by a line bundle on the moduli spaces. So the same holds for $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ by the projection formula. Hence $P(\mathcal{E})$ and $P(\mathcal{F})$ are independent of the choices of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$. Since $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = s[X]$, $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = -s'[X]$ and $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ both have rank d , it follows that, if $s + s' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, then $P(\mathcal{E})$ and $P(\mathcal{F})$ are not isomorphic as projective bundles over X . Now any isomorphism of varieties from $P(\mathcal{E})$ to $P(\mathcal{F})$ must map fibres to fibres and induces a linear map on each fibre. Hence there exists an automorphism ψ of X such that $P(\mathcal{E})$ and $P(\psi^*\mathcal{F})$ are isomorphic as projective bundles over X . Since $c_1(\psi^*\mathcal{F}) = c_1(\mathcal{F})$, this proves the last part of the proposition. $\square$

From the proposition we can derive examples showing that the moduli spaces G_0 and G_L can be non-isomorphic. We finish with a specific example.

Example 8.3. If $k = 1$, we can identify G_0 with $P(\mathcal{E})$ and G_L with $P(\mathcal{F})$ by Proposition 8.1. Moreover s and s' are determined modulo d by the conditions

$$sn \equiv -1 \pmod{d} \quad \text{and} \quad s'(n - 1) \equiv -1 \pmod{d}.$$

If $s + s' \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, adding these conditions gives

$$s \equiv -2 \pmod{d} \quad \text{and} \quad s' \equiv +2 \pmod{d}.$$

Hence, if we choose an example for the pair (n, d) such that

$$2n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{d},$$

then the moduli spaces $G_0 \simeq P(\mathcal{E})$ and $G_L \simeq P(\mathcal{F})$ for the triple $(n, d, 1)$ are non-isomorphic. For an example, choose $n = 5$ and $d = 7$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths and J. Harris: *Geometry of Algebraic Curves I*. Springer, Grundlehren math Wiss. 267 (1985).
- [2] M. F. Atiyah: *Vector bundles over an elliptic curve*. Proc. London Math. Soc. 7 (1957), 414–452.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott: *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A. 308 (1982), 523–615.
- [4] A. Bertram, G. Daskalopoulos and R. Wentworth: *Gromov invariants for holomorphic maps from Riemann surfaces to Grassmannians*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 529–571.
- [5] S. B. Bradlow and O. García-Prada: *An application of coherent systems to a Brill-Noether problem*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 551 (2002), 123–143.
- [6] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada, V. Muñoz and P. E. Newstead: *Coherent systems and Brill-Noether theory*. Internat. J. Math. 14 (2003), 683–733.
- [7] M. He: *Espaces de modules de systèmes cohérents*. Internat. J. Math. 9 (1998), 545–598.
- [8] H. Lange and P. E. Newstead: *Coherent systems of genus 0*. Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), 409–424.
- [9] L. Tu: *Semistable bundles over an elliptic curve*. Adv. Math. 98 (1993), 1–26.

H. LANGE, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT ERLANGEN-NÜRNBERG,
 BISMARCKSTRASSE 1 $\frac{1}{2}$, D-91054 ERLANGEN, GERMANY
E-mail address: lange@mi.uni-erlangen.de

P.E. NEWSTEAD, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY
 OF LIVERPOOL, PEACH STREET, LIVERPOOL L69 7ZL, UK
E-mail address: newstead@liv.ac.uk